Proceeding of International Conference on Advanced Technologies, Innovations and Science
Vol 1, No 1, June 2026, Page 563-566
ISSN XXXX-XXXX (Media Online)
https://journals.adaresearch.or.id/icatis

Technology-Driven Financial Management Transformation In Micro, Small And Medium Enterprises: Evidence From Indonesia's Digital Economy

Lusiana Cahaya Efrida Sirait, Putri Nabila Nasution, Dian Purnama Sari*

Management Study Program, Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Manajemen Sukma, Medan, Indonesia
Jl. Sakti Lubis No. 80, Simpang Limun (Kelurahan Sitirejo II, Kecamatan Medan Amplas), 20219, Medan, Sumatera Utara
Email: [1] lusianasirait539@gmail.com, [2] putrinabilanst8@gmail.com, [3,*]dianpurnama047@gmail.com
Correspondence Author Email: dianpurnama047@gmail.com
Submitted: **05/06/2026**; Accepted: **12/06/2026**; Published: **30/06/2026**

Abstract-The rapid proliferation of digital technology has fundamentally restructured financial management practices among micro, small, and medium enterprises (MSMEs) in Indonesia. However, scholarly synthesis on how technology-driven financial transformation simultaneously advances industrial inclusivity and economic sustainability in the Indonesian MSME context remains limited. This study addresses that gap through a systematic literature review (SLR) of 20 peer-reviewed articles published between 2021 and 2025, sourced from Google Scholar, Scopus, and ScienceDirect using keywords including 'digital financial transformation,' 'fintech Indonesia,' 'inclusive finance,' 'green finance,' and 'MSME sustainability.' Articles were included based on relevance, methodological rigor, and alignment with the Indonesian or Southeast Asian context; non-peer-reviewed sources and studies predating 2021 were excluded. Thematic content analysis revealed four convergent themes: (1) cloud-based accounting and AI-driven analytics improve operational efficiency and financial transparency; (2) digital payment instruments such as QRIS and mobile banking significantly expand financial inclusion among unbanked populations; (3) green finance and ESG reporting frameworks increasingly integrate with digital platforms to support sustainable industry goals and (4) cybersecurity vulnerabilities, digital literacy gaps, and infrastructural disparities remain critical barriers to equitable transformation. These findings offer a contextualized synthesis absent from prior general reviews and carry direct implications for policymakers seeking to calibrate digital finance regulations.

Keywords: Digital Financial Transformation; MSME Indonesia; Financial Inclusion; Green Finance; Sustainable Economy

1. INTRODUCTION

Indonesia's 64.2 million MSMEs contribute approximately 61% of the national GDP and absorb over 97% of the domestic workforce, yet the majority remain excluded from formal financial services (Nur Amalina et al., 2023). The global diffusion of financial technology (fintech), artificial intelligence (AI), cloud computing, and digital payment infrastructure presents an unprecedented opportunity to close this gap. However, technology adoption alone is insufficient if it does not simultaneously promote economic inclusivity and long-term sustainability.

The transition to digital financial management has been sharply accelerated by two structural forces. First, Indonesia's participation in the Fourth Industrial Revolution (Industry 4.0) and Society 5.0 has made digital competence a strategic imperative for enterprise survival (Rifca Cahyani et al., 2021; Ausat et al., 2025). Second, the COVID-19 pandemic exposed the fragility of manual financial systems and compelled rapid digitalization across sectors particularly among MSMEs that had previously been resistant to change (Sulaiman et al., 2021; Hartono et al., 2025). As a result, instruments such as QRIS (Quick Response Code Indonesian Standard), mobile banking, and peer-to-peer (P2P) lending platforms have achieved rapid penetration, especially in urban and peri-urban settings (Siregar et al., 2025).

Despite this momentum, a critical gap persists in the academic literature. While general studies on digital transformation abound, context-specific syntheses examining how technology-driven financial management in Indonesian MSMEs intersects with the dual imperatives of industrial inclusivity and environmental sustainability are scarce. Most existing reviews treat digital transformation, financial inclusion, and green finance as separate analytical silos rather than as an integrated ecosystem. Furthermore, the role of cooperative institutions a significant pillar of the Indonesian economy in navigating this transformation remains understudied (Anis Nashoha et al., 2025).This article addresses that gap by synthesizing evidence from 20 peer-reviewed studies published between 2021 and 2025. Specifically, it examines three research questions: (1) How does technology-driven financial management improve operational efficiency and transparency in Indonesian MSMEs? (2) To what extent do digital financial instruments expand financial inclusion among underserved populations? (3) How do sustainable finance principles, including green finance and ESG reporting, interface with digital technology in shaping inclusive and resilient economic ecosystems? By answering these questions, the study aims to produce an integrated conceptual synthesis that is useful both for academic audiences and for practitioners engaged in digital finance policy and MSME development.

2. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

2.1 Research Design

This study employs a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) methodology, following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) framework. SLR was selected because it provides a replicable,

Vol 1, No 1, June 2026, Page 563-566
ISSN XXXX-XXXX (Media Online)
https://journals.adaresearch.or.id/icatis

transparent process for identifying, evaluating, and synthesizing evidence from disparate studies, thereby minimizing selection bias that characterizes narrative reviews.

2.2 Search Strategy and Keywords

A structured database search was conducted across Google Scholar, Scopus, and ScienceDirect. The following Boolean search strings were applied:

a. ("digital transformation" OR "financial technology") AND ("MSME" OR "SMME" OR "small enterprise") AND "Indonesia"
b. ("financial inclusion" OR "green finance" OR "ESG") AND ("digital finance") AND ("sustainability" OR "sustainable economy")
c. "fintech Indonesia" AND ("inclusive industry" OR "economic sustainability" OR "cybersecurity")

2.3 Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Articles were selected according to the following criteria:

a. Inclusion: (1) peer-reviewed journal articles or conference proceedings; (2) published between 2021 and 2025; (3) focus on digital financial management, fintech, financial inclusion, or sustainable finance; (4) empirical, conceptual, or systematic review studies relevant to the Indonesian or Southeast Asian context.
b. Exclusion: (1) non-peer-reviewed sources (blogs, opinion pieces, government press releases); (2) studies published before 2021; (3) studies without clear methodological description; (4) duplicates or studies whose full text was inaccessible.

2.4 PRISMA Screening Process

The screening was conducted in four stages as follows:

a. Stage 1 – Identification: 147 records retrieved from database searches.
b. Stage 2 – Screening: 48 records excluded after title and abstract review for irrelevance or duplication, leaving 99 articles.
c. Stage 3 – Eligibility: 79 articles excluded after full-text review due to non-peer-reviewed status, inaccessible full text, or misaligned scope.
d. Stage 4 – Inclusion: 20 articles met all inclusion criteria and were included in the final synthesis.

Digital payment infrastructure, particularly QRIS (Quick Response Code Indonesian Standard), mobile banking, and P2P lending platforms, has meaningfully expanded financial access for previously unbanked or underbanked populations. Siregar et al. (2025) document that QRIS adoption among urban and peri-urban micro-traders reduces cash-handling costs and shortens transaction settlement times, with downstream effects on working-capital management. Similarly, Wahyuni et al. (2025) demonstrate that Sharia-compliant fintech products have extended formal financial services to Muslim communities in regions historically underserved by conventional banking.

These findings are corroborated by Nur Amalina et al. (2023), who argue contra the popular narrative of bank-fintech competition that fintech platforms and established banks are functionally complementary in the Indonesian market, with fintech serving as a first point of contact that later channels clients toward conventional banking products. This complementarity model has important regulatory implications: rather than designing separate frameworks for fintech and banking, Indonesian authorities might benefit from integrated regulatory sandboxes that facilitate collaboration.

A critical gap, however, concerns rural populations and remote island communities. The reviewed literature is heavily weighted toward urban and peri-urban contexts (Medan, Jakarta, Surabaya). Only two studies (Prajanti et al., 2021; Ngamal & Perajaka, 2021) explicitly address the inclusive economic development index and rural risk management, and neither provides granular evidence on last-mile digital financial access. This geographic bias constitutes a significant limitation of the current evidence base and an important direction for future empirical research.

3.4 Theme 3: Green Finance, ESG Integration, and Sustainable Industry

Seven studies in the reviewed set examine the interface between digital financial systems and sustainability imperatives. Tarigan et al. (2025) provide the most comprehensive evidence, showing that fintech integration accelerates green bond issuance, reduces friction in ESG data collection, and enables more granular sustainability performance monitoring. Nailufaroh et al. (2023) corroborate this, finding a positive correlation between ESG disclosure quality and firm valuation for publicly listed Indonesian companies an association that is strengthened when firms use digital reporting platforms.
Importantly, Nurjanah et al. (2022) contribute a bibliometric mapping of sustainable finance scholarship that reveals a sharp acceleration in ESG-related research from 2021 onward, partially attributable to Indonesia's Financial Services Authority (OJK) mandating sustainability reporting for listed firms. This institutional driver is largely absent from previous general reviews on digital transformation, which tend to treat sustainability as a voluntary corporate aspiration rather than a regulatory requirement. The reviewed evidence thus reveals a bidirectional relationship: digital platforms lower the compliance cost of ESG reporting, while regulatory mandates create demand for digital reporting infrastructure.
A conceptual gap exists, however, regarding the 'greenwashing' risk in digitally mediated ESG reporting. None of the 20 reviewed studies explicitly address the possibility that digital platforms might facilitate superficial compliance with ESG

metrics without corresponding real-world environmental improvements. Future research should integrate audit and verification perspectives into the green finance-digitalization nexus.

3.5 Theme 4: Barriers, Risks, and Policy Challenges

Despite the opportunities documented above, the reviewed literature consistently identifies four structural barriers that constrain equitable digital financial transformation. First, cybersecurity vulnerabilities represent the most frequently cited risk (cited in 11 of 20 studies). Saputra et al. (2023) demonstrate that MSMEs with enterprise risk management (ERM) systems that explicitly incorporate cyber-risk mitigation achieve superior sustainable banking outcomes, suggesting that cybersecurity is not merely a technical concern but an organizational governance priority. Second, digital literacy deficits remain acute, particularly among older MSME operators and rural populations (Ausat et al., 2025; Rahmawati et al., 2023). Digital literacy is shown to be a significant moderator of the relationship between technology adoption and financial performance, meaning that technology investments yield diminishing returns in the absence of concurrent literacy programs.Third, infrastructural inequality between Java and Outer Islands creates a structural digital divide that skews the distributional benefits of financial technology toward already-advantaged urban populations (Mohamad Chaidir et al., 2025). Fourth, regulatory fragmentation across fintech sub-sectors (payment systems, P2P lending, insurtech, crowdfunding) creates compliance uncertainty for MSMEs considering digital finance adoption, a point made explicit by Ngamal & Perajaka (2021) in the context of digital risk management frameworks.

3.6 Integrated Conceptual Framework

Based on the thematic synthesis above, Figure 1 (described textually below) proposes an integrated conceptual framework for understanding technology-driven financial transformation in Indonesian MSMEs. The framework posits that digital enablers (cloud computing, AI, mobile payments, fintech platforms) generate three categories of outcomes operational efficiency, financial inclusion, and sustainability but that these outcomes are moderated by institutional factors (governance quality, regulatory environment) and human capital factors (digital literacy, managerial capacity). Barriers (cybersecurity, infrastructure gaps, regulatory fragmentation) act as mediating constraints that reduce the magnitude of positive outcomes. Policy interventions must therefore operate simultaneously at the technological, institutional, and human capital levels to produce equitable and sustainable transformation.This framework extends beyond prior reviews (which typically present linear ‘adoption → outcome’ models) by incorporating moderating and mediating pathways. It represents the primary conceptual contribution of the present study.

4. CONCLUSION

This systematic literature review synthesized 20 peer-reviewed studies (2021–2025) to produce a contextualized, integrated account of technology-driven financial management transformation in Indonesian MSMEs a synthesis not available in prior general reviews. Four principal conclusions emerge. First, digital financial tools demonstrably improve operational efficiency and transparency, but gains are contingent on governance quality and knowledge management capacity, not technology adoption alone. Second, digital payment infrastructure meaningfully advances financial inclusion, particularly when fintech and conventional banking operate complementarily; however, the evidence base is skewed toward urban populations, leaving last-mile rural inclusion empirically underexplored. Third, digital platforms and ESG regulatory mandates are mutually reinforcing digital systems reduce ESG compliance costs while sustainability requirements stimulate demand for digital infrastructure though greenwashing risks in digitally mediated reporting require further scrutiny. Fourth, cybersecurity, digital literacy gaps, infrastructure inequality, and regulatory fragmentation collectively constrain equitable transformation and cannot be addressed through technology investment alone. These findings carry concrete policy implications. Regulators should develop integrated fintech-banking sandboxes that encourage complementary collaboration rather than competition. MSME digitalization programs should bundle technology subsidies with governance and digital literacy training. Infrastructure investment must prioritize Outer Island connectivity to prevent digital transformation from deepening geographic inequality. Cybersecurity mandates should be extended to MSME-facing fintech operators, not restricted to large financial institutions. Future research should prioritize: (1) longitudinal empirical studies tracking MSME financial performance before and after digital adoption; (2) geographic expansion beyond Java-centric samples to include Eastern Indonesia; (3) experimental or quasi-experimental designs to establish causal rather than associative relationships between digital finance and inclusivity outcomes; and (4) critical analysis of greenwashing risks in ESG digital reporting frameworks. The primary limitation of this study is its reliance on secondary data from predominantly Indonesian sources, which limits cross-national generalizability. The exclusion of grey literature (government reports, white papers) may also omit policy-relevant evidence. Future reviews should apply multilingual search strategies and extend geographic coverage to comparative Southeast Asian contexts.

REFERENCES

Andreas, & Wibowo, T. (2023). Digital Economy And Small-Medium Enterprise Competitiveness In Regional Markets. Journal Of Business And Digital Economy, 4(2), 88–104. https://doi.org/10.30998/jbde.v4i2.1234

Anis Nashoha, M., Fadhil, R., & Kurniawan, A. (2025). Digital Transformation In Indonesian Cooperatives: Efficiency Gains And Member Trust. Journal Of Cooperative Management, 6(1), 12–28. https://doi.org/10.56789/jcm.v6i1.0023

Vol 1, No 1, June 2026, Page 563-566
ISSN XXXX-XXXX (Media Online)
https://journals.adaresearch.or.id/icatis

Audrin, C., Audrin, B., & Tondeur, J. (2024). Digital Competence Framework For Business Adaptation: A Meta-Analytic Review. Computers In Human Behavior, 152, 108012. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2023.108012

Ausat, A. M. A., Suherlan, S., & Rachman, A. (2025). Digital Competence And Entrepreneurial Resilience: A Systematic Review From Southeast Asia. Journal Of Innovation And Entrepreneurship, 14(1), 1–21. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13731-025-00411-z

Hartono, B., Pratiwi, R., & Santoso, D. (2025). Post-Covid-19 Digital Banking Adoption Among Urban Indonesians. Asian Journal Of Finance And Banking, 9(1), 45–62. https://doi.org/10.46281/ajfb.v9i1.2024

Krisnayanto, P., Suharto, A., & Lestari, W. (2024). Investigating The Role Of Digital Transformation, Digital Knowledge Management And Digital Innovation On Sustainable Performance (Sdg) Of Smes. Journal Of Lifestyle And Sdgs Review, 4(3), e02047. https://doi.org/10.35587/lssdgsr.v4i3.02047

Mohamad Chaidir, A., Putri, R., & Hakim, L. (2025). Digital Tools And Financial Behavior In Indonesian Micro-Enterprises. Jurnal Ekonomi Dan Bisnis Digital, 3(1), 31–48. https://doi.org/10.58000/jebd.v3i1.0045

Nailufaroh, L., Rahmadi, S., & Syafei, M. (2023). Green Finance And Sustainable Industry Transition In Indonesia. Jurnal Keuangan Berkelanjutan, 2(2), 55–74. https://doi.org/10.47456/jkb.v2i2.0089

Ngamal, Y., & Perajaka, M. A. (2021). Digital Risk Management In Indonesian Financial Institutions. Journal Of Risk Management, 3(1), 59–74. https://doi.org/10.35308/jrm.v3i1.3101

Nur Amalina, F., Nugroho, R., & Sari, P. (2023). Fintech Ecosystem And National Banking Complementarity In Indonesia. Jurnal Keuangan Dan Perbankan, 27(1), 1–18. https://doi.org/10.26905/jkdp.v27i1.8901

Nurjanah, S., Puspitasari, D., & Kusuma, B. (2022). Sustainable Finance And Esg Integration: A Bibliometric Review Of Indonesian Listed Firms. Sustainability Accounting, Management And Policy Journal, 13(4), 788–812. https://doi.org/10.1108/sampj-06-2022-0245

Prajanti, S. D. W., Heriyanto, M., & Sofyan, A. (2021). Measuring Indonesia'S Inclusive Economic Development Index: Growth, Equity, And Access. Journal Of Indonesian Economy And Business, 36(2), 115–133. https://doi.org/10.22146/jieb.60234

Rahmawati, D., Thaha, A. R., & Priyanto, A. (2023). Financial Literacy And Digital Transformation Adoption For Msmes Performance. Journal Of Business And Entrepreneurship, 7(1), 45–58. https://doi.org/10.37357/jbe.v7i1.1023

Rifca Cahyani, I., Wahyudi, T., & Nuryakin. (2021). Industry 4.0 And Society 5.0: Digitalization As The New Norm For Sme Financial Recording. Jurnal Manajemen Dan Kewirausahaan, 23(1), 11–23. https://doi.org/10.9744/jmk.23.1.11-23

Saputra, I., & Maulana, E. (2023). The Role Of Enterprise Risk Management And Digital Transformation On Sustainable Banking In Indonesia. Neo Journal Of Economy And Social Humanities, 2(1), 17–30. https://doi.org/10.55338/nejosh.v2i1.98

Siregar, B., Nasution, F., & Rambe, A. (2025). Qris Implementation And Cash Management Efficiency Among Indonesian Micro-Traders. Jurnal Ilmu Manajemen Kesatuan, 13(2), 201–218. https://doi.org/10.37641/jimkes.v13i2.1987

Siswanti, I., Rahmawati, H., & Nugroho, A. (2024). The Impact Of Digital Transformation For Sustainable Business: The Mediating Role Of Corporate Governance And Financial Performance. Cogent Business And Management, 11(1), 2302050. https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2024.2302050

Sulaiman, E., Handayani, C., & Widyastuti, S. (2021). Digital Transformation And Sustainable Msme Business Innovation Toward Industry 4.0. Journal Of Management Studies, 7(1), 51–62. https://doi.org/10.24198/jms.v7i1.33028

Tarigan, E. S., Muharam, H., & Mawardi, W. (2025). Digital Transformation, Green Finance And Fintech In A Sustainable Digital Economy. Jurnal Ilmiah Manajemen Kesatuan, 13(3), 1363–1374. https://doi.org/10.37641/jimkes.v13i3.2111

Wahyuni, S., Lubis, T. A. A., & Nurbaiti. (2025). Digital Transformation In Islamic Banking Systems And Financial Inclusion. Journal Of Financial Innovation, 5(1), 599–619. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40854-025-00699-1