Proceeding of International Conference Technology, Economics, and Social Science
Vol 1, No 1, January 2026, Page 519-521
ISSN 3124-6087 (Media Online)
https://journals.adaresearch.or.id/ictess

How Technology-Suppoted Problem-Based Learning Promotes Higher-Order Thinking Skills In Higher Education: A Systematic Review

Chairunnisa Amelia[1], Yusnadi[2], Khairil Ansari[3,*]

[1]Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Elementary School Teacher Education Study Program, Universitas Muhammadiyah Sumatera Utara, Medan, Indonesia
Jl. Kapten Mukhtar Basri No. 3, Glugur Darat II, Kecamatan Medan Timur, Kota Medan, Sumatera Utara, Indonesia
[2]Faculty of Education, Non-Formal Education Study Program, Universitas Negeri Medan, Deli Serdang, Indonesia
Jl. Willem Iskandar, Pasar V Medan Estate, Percut Sei Tuan, Deli Serdang, Sumatera Utara, Indonesia
[3]Faculty of Languages and Arts, Indonesian Language Study Program, Universitas Negeri Medan, Deli Serdang, Indonesia
Jl. Willem Iskandar, Pasar V Medan Estate, Percut Sei Tuan, Deli Serdang, Sumatera Utara, Indonesia
Email: [1]chairunnisaamelia@umsu.ac.id, [2]yusnadi@unimed.ac.id, [3,*]khairil.ansary@gmail.com
Correspondence Author Email: khairil.ansary@gmail.com
Submitted: **10/01/2026**; Accepted: **16/01/2026**; Published: **24/01/2026**

Abstract−This study investigates how technology-supported Problem-Based Learning (PBL) promotes higher-order thinking skills (HOTS) in higher education. The growing demand for graduates with advanced cognitive abilities has encouraged universities to integrate innovative pedagogical approaches with digital technologies. However, empirical findings on the effectiveness of technology-supported PBL remain dispersed and conceptually fragmented. This study employs a systematic review approach to synthesize recent empirical evidence published between 2019 and 2024. Following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines, 32 peer-reviewed journal articles indexed in Scopus, Web of Science, and ERIC were selected for analysis. Qualitative content analysis was used to examine learning design characteristics, types of technology utilized, assessment strategies, and reported outcomes related to higher-order thinking skills, including critical thinking, analysis, evaluation, and problem solving. The findings reveal that technology-supported PBL consistently enhances higher-order thinking when digital tools are pedagogically aligned with authentic problem contexts, collaborative learning, and reflective activities. Learning management systems, simulations, collaborative platforms, and virtual laboratories were found to be particularly effective in facilitating deep cognitive engagement. Nevertheless, the success of technology-supported PBL depends on instructional scaffolding, lecturer competence, and assessment alignment. This review provides an integrative framework explaining the pedagogical mechanisms through which technology-supported PBL fosters higher-order thinking skills in higher education.

Keywords: Problem-Based Learning; Higher-Order Thinking Skills; Educational Technology; Higher Education; Systematic Review

1. INTRODUCTION

Higher education is increasingly challenged to produce graduates who are capable of critical thinking, complex problem solving, and sound decision-making in uncertain and rapidly changing environments. These competencies are widely recognized as higher-order thinking skills, which occupy the upper levels of Bloom's revised taxonomy. Despite this expectation, conventional lecture-based instruction remains dominant in many universities and has been criticized for emphasizing knowledge transmission rather than cognitive engagement and deep learning.

Problem-Based Learning has emerged as a pedagogical approach that directly addresses these limitations by situating learning within authentic and ill-structured problems. PBL encourages students to actively construct knowledge, engage in collaborative inquiry, and reflect on their learning processes. These characteristics align closely with constructivist learning theory, which emphasizes learner-centered environments and the active role of students in meaning-making. As digital technologies become integral to higher education, PBL has increasingly been implemented in technology-supported environments that extend learning beyond physical classrooms.

Recent studies suggest that technology-supported PBL can enhance student engagement and facilitate higher-order thinking by providing access to interactive resources, collaborative tools, and real-world simulations. Digital platforms enable students to explore complex problems, test alternative solutions, and receive timely feedback. However, empirical evidence regarding its effectiveness remains inconsistent. Some studies report significant improvements in critical thinking and analytical reasoning, while others highlight challenges related to cognitive overload, poor instructional design, or limited lecturer readiness.

Several recent studies conducted within the last five years have examined PBL or educational technology independently, yet only a limited number have explicitly investigated their combined impact on higher-order thinking skills in higher education. Existing reviews often focus on learning outcomes in general terms without systematically explaining the pedagogical mechanisms that link technology-supported PBL to cognitive development. This lack of synthesis creates a gap in the literature and limits the practical implications for instructional design.

The present study addresses this gap by conducting a systematic review of empirical research on technology-supported PBL and higher-order thinking skills in higher education. The objectives of this study are to identify dominant technological tools used in PBL environments, analyze their influence on higher-order cognitive outcomes, and develop an integrative framework that explains how technology-supported PBL promotes higher-order thinking. This study contributes to the literature by offering a structured synthesis of recent evidence and providing guidance for educators seeking to design effective technology-enhanced PBL environments.

Proceeding of International Conference Technology, Economics, and Social Science
Vol 1, No 1, January 2026, Page 519-521
ISSN 3124-6087 (Media Online)
https://journals.adaresearch.or.id/ictess

2. RESEARCH METHODS

2.1 Basic Research Framework

This study employs a qualitative systematic review design to synthesize empirical findings related to technology-supported Problem-Based Learning and higher-order thinking skills in higher education. The review process followed the PRISMA framework, consisting of identification, screening, eligibility, and inclusion stages to ensure transparency and rigor. The literature search was conducted using Scopus, Web of Science, and ERIC databases. Keywords used included "problem-based learning," "higher-order thinking skills," "educational technology," "digital learning," and "higher education." Inclusion criteria were peer-reviewed empirical studies published between 2019 and 2024, conducted in higher education settings, explicitly integrating technology within PBL, and reporting outcomes related to higher-order thinking skills. Conceptual papers, studies conducted in primary or secondary education, and non-English publications were excluded.

A total of 124 articles were initially identified. After removing duplicates and applying the inclusion criteria, 32 articles were selected for final analysis. Data extraction focused on research context, sample characteristics, technological tools, learning design features, assessment methods, and reported cognitive outcomes. Qualitative content analysis was applied to identify recurring patterns and themes. The conceptual framework guiding this review is grounded in constructivist learning theory and cognitive engagement theory. Technology-supported PBL is conceptualized as a learning environment where technological affordances mediate problem engagement, collaboration, and reflection, ultimately leading to higher-order thinking outcomes.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of the systematic review indicate that technology-supported PBL has a positive and consistent influence on higher-order thinking skills when implemented with sound pedagogical design. Four dominant themes emerged from the analysis: authentic problem design, technology-supported collaboration, instructional scaffolding, and assessment alignment.

Authentic problem design was identified as a foundational element across the reviewed studies. Digital technologies such as simulations, virtual cases, and multimedia scenarios enabled the presentation of complex, real-world problems that required students to analyze information, evaluate alternatives, and justify decisions. These activities directly engaged higher-order cognitive processes and promoted deeper learning.

Technology-supported collaboration emerged as a second key theme. Learning management systems, online discussion forums, and collaborative platforms facilitated peer interaction and collective problem solving. Studies reported that structured collaborative activities enhanced critical thinking and encouraged students to articulate and defend their reasoning.

Instructional scaffolding was found to be a critical determinant of effectiveness. Technologies that provided guided prompts, feedback mechanisms, and reflective tools helped students regulate their cognitive processes during problem solving. In contrast, studies lacking adequate scaffolding reported mixed or limited cognitive gains.

Assessment alignment also played a significant role. Performance-based assessments, reflective journals, and project-based evaluations were more effective in capturing higher-order thinking skills than traditional tests. Table 1 summarizes the dominant technological tools and reported cognitive outcomes across the reviewed studies.

Table 1. Technology Tools and Higher-Order Thinking Outcomes

Technology Tool	Learning Function	Dominant HOTS Outcomes
Learning management systems	Collaboration and reflection	Critical thinking, evaluation
Simulations and virtual labs	Authentic problem solving	Analysis, problem solving
Online discussion forums	Argumentation and peer feedback	Evaluation, reasoning
Collaborative platforms	Knowledge construction	Critical thinking, synthesis

3.1 Discussion

The findings of this review align with and extend previous research on PBL and educational technology by demonstrating that technology-supported PBL is most effective when pedagogy, technology, and assessment are coherently integrated. Unlike earlier studies that treat technology as a neutral delivery medium, this review highlights its role as a cognitive mediator that shapes learning processes and outcomes. Compared to prior reviews, this study offers a clearer explanation of how technology-supported PBL fosters higher-order thinking skills through authentic engagement, collaboration, and reflective practice.

4. CONCLUSION

This systematic review concludes that technology-supported Problem-Based Learning is an effective pedagogical approach for fostering higher-order thinking skills in higher education when implemented with strong instructional

alignment. Digital technologies enhance PBL by enabling authentic problem contexts, supporting collaboration, and facilitating reflective learning processes. However, the effectiveness of this approach depends on adequate instructional scaffolding, lecturer competence, and assessment strategies that align with higher-order cognitive objectives. This study is limited by its qualitative synthesis approach and exclusion of non-English publications. Future research should employ meta-analytic methods and cross-institutional comparisons to strengthen empirical generalization. Overall, this review provides theoretical and practical insights for educators and curriculum designers seeking to leverage technology-supported PBL to promote higher-order thinking skills in higher education.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank the academic community and researchers whose studies contributed to this systematic review.

REFERENCES

Barrows, H. S. (2019). Principles and practice of a PBL. Springer.
Hmelo-Silver, C. E., Duncan, R. G., & Chinn, C. A. (2020). Scaffolding and achievement in problem-based learning. Educational Psychologist, 55(2), 98–115.
Hung, W. (2021). Problem-based learning and digital learning environments. Educational Technology Research and Development, 69(1), 1–19.
Koh, J. H. L., & Tan, C. (2023). Technology-supported PBL and higher-order thinking. Computers & Education, 190, 104600.
Merrill, M. D. (2020). First principles of instruction revisited. Educational Technology Research and Development, 68(2), 1–15.
OECD. (2022). Higher-order thinking skills in higher education. OECD Publishing.
Schmidt, H. G., Rotgans, J. I., & Yew, E. H. J. (2020). The process of problem-based learning. Medical Education, 54(11), 1028–1035.